Consistency of the drift parameter estimator for the discretized fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process with Hurst index $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$

Kęstutis Kubilius[*]

Vilnius University, Institute of Mathematics and Informatics,
Akademijos 4, LT-08663, Vilnius, Lithuania
e-mail: kestutis.kubilius@mii.vu.lt

Yuliya Mishura[*]

Department of Probability Theory, Statistics and Actuarial Mathematics,
Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv,
64 Volodymyrska, 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine
e-mail: myus@univ.kiev.ua

Kostiantyn Ralchenko

Department of Probability Theory, Statistics and Actuarial Mathematics,
Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv,
64 Volodymyrska, 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine
e-mail: k.ralchenko@gmail.com

Oleg Seleznjev[*]

Institute of Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics,
University of Umeå,
SE-90187 Umeå, Sweden
e-mail: oleg.seleznjev@math.umu.se

Abstract: We consider Langevin equation involving fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Its solution is the fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process and with unknown drift parameter θ. We construct the estimator that is similar in form to maximum likelihood estimator for Langevin equation with standard Brownian motion. Observations are discrete in time. It is assumed that the interval between observations is n^{-1}, i.e. tends to zero (high frequency data) and the number of observations increases to infinity as n^m with $m > 1$. It is proved that for positive θ the estimator is strongly consistent for any $m > 1$ and for negative θ it is consistent when $m > \frac{1}{2H}$.

60G22, 60F15, 60F25, 62F10, 62F12.
Keywords and phrases: fractional Brownian motion, fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process, short-range dependence, drift parameter estimator, consistency, strong consistency, discretization, high-frequency data.

1. Introduction

Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbf{P})$ be a complete probability space. We consider fractional Brownian motion $B^H = \{B_t^H, \ t \geq 0\}$ on this probability space, that is, the centered Gaussian process with the covariance function

$$R(t, s) = \frac{1}{2} \left(s^{2H} + t^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H} \right).$$

We restrict ourselves to the case $H \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and consider the continuous (and even Hölder up to order H) modification that exists due to the Kolmogorov theorem. Introduce the Langevin equation,

$$X_t = x_0 + \theta \int_0^t X_s \, ds + B_t^H, \quad t \geq 0, \quad H \in (0, \tfrac{1}{2}). \tag{1}$$

According to Proposition A.1 from [7], this equation has the unique solution that is named fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process and can be presented as

$$X_t = x_0 e^{\theta t} + \theta e^{\theta t} \int_0^t e^{-\theta s} B_s^H \, ds + B_t^H, \ t \geq 0. \tag{2}$$

The goal of the paper is to construct consistent (strongly consistent) estimator of the unknown drift parameter θ by discrete observations of the process X.

The problem of the estimation of the drift parameter θ in the linear equation containing fBm and in the equation (1) when the Hurst index $H \geq \frac{1}{2}$ was investigated in many works. For linear models, mention only papers [2] and [13]. Drift parameter estimators for fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process with continuous time when the whole trajectory of X is observed, were studied in [1, 12, 15]. Kleptsyna and Le Breton [15] constructed the maximum likelihood estimator and proved its strong consistency for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. They also investigated the asymptotic behaviour of the bias and mean square error of this estimator. The sequential maximum likelihood estimation was considered in [20]. Hu and Nualart [12] proved that in the ergodic case ($\theta < 0$) the least square estimator

$$\widehat{\theta}_T = \frac{\int_0^T X_t \, dX_t}{\int_0^T X_t^2 \, dt}, \tag{3}$$

is strongly consistent for all $H \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and asymptotically normal for $H \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$. They also obtained the strong consistency and asymptotic normality of the estimator

$$\widehat{\theta}_T = \left(\frac{1}{H\Gamma(H)T} \int_0^T X_t^2 \, dt \right)^{-\frac{1}{2H}}. \tag{4}$$

In [1] the corresponding non-ergodic case $\theta > 0$ was considered and the strong consistency of the least square estimator (3) was proved for $H > \frac{1}{2}$. It was

obtained also that $e^{\theta t} \left(\widehat{\theta}_t - \theta \right)$ converges in law to $2\theta \mathcal{C}(1)$ as $t \to \infty$, where $\mathcal{C}(1)$ is the standard Cauchy distribution. Minimum contrast estimators in continuous and discrete case were studied in [4]. The distributional properties of maximum likelihood, minimum contrast and least square estimators were explored in [21]. For the two-parameter generalization see [8].

In [6, 10, 11] the discretized version of (3) is considered, namely

$$\widehat{\theta}_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{t_{i-1}} \left(X_{t_i} - X_{t_{i-1}} \right)}{\Delta_n \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{t_{i-1}}^2}, \tag{5}$$

where the process X was observed in the points $t_i = i\Delta_n$, $i = 0, \ldots, n$, such that $\Delta_n \to 0$ and $n\Delta_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. In [6] the ergodic case $\theta < 0$ was studied, the strong consistency of this estimator was proved for $H \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and the almost sure central limit theorem was obtained for $H \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4} \right)$. The non-ergodic case $\theta > 0$ was considered in Es-Sebaiy and Ndiaye [11]. They proved the strong consistency of the estimator (5) for $H \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 \right)$ assuming that $\Delta_n \to 0$ and $n\Delta_n^{1+\alpha} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ for some $\alpha > 0$. The same result was obtained for the estimator

$$\widehat{\theta}_n = \frac{X_{t_n}^2}{2\Delta_n \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{t_{i-1}}^2}.$$

In [14, 23] the following discretized version of the estimator (4) was considered

$$\widehat{\theta}_n = -\left(\frac{1}{nH\Gamma(2H)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k\Delta}^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2H}},$$

where $\theta < 0$ and the process X was observed in the points $\Delta, 2\Delta, \ldots, n\Delta$ for some fixed $\Delta > 0$. Hu and Song [14] proved the strong consistency of the estimator for $H \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and the asymptotic normality for $\frac{1}{2} \leq H < \frac{3}{4}$.

In [5, 24] more general situation is studied, where the equation has the following form $dX_t = \theta X_t dt + \sigma dB_t^H$, $t > 0$, and $\vartheta = (\theta, \sigma, H)$ is the unknown parameter, $\theta < 0$. Consistent and asymptotically Gaussian estimators of the parameter θ are proposed by the discrete observations of the sample path $(X_{k\Delta_n}, k = 0, \ldots, n)$ for $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$, where $n\Delta_n^p \to \infty$, $p > 1$, and $\Delta_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. In [24] the strongly consistent estimator is constructed for the scheme, when $H > \frac{1}{2}$, the time interval $[0, T]$ is fixed and the process is observed at points $h_n, 2h_n, \ldots, nh_n$, where $h_n = \frac{T}{n}$.

In [9, 17] the so called sub-fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process was studied, where the process B_t^H in (1) was replaced with a sub-fractional Brownian motion. In [9] the maximum likelihood estimator for such process was constructed, in [17] the estimator (3) was investigated in the case $\theta > 0$. The maximum likelihood drift parameter estimators for fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process and even more general processes involving fBm with Hurst index from the whole interval $(0, 1)$ were constructed and studied in [22]. These estimators involve singular kernels therefore are more complicated to study and simulate. To the best of our knowledge, it is the only paper when discretized estimates of the

drift parameter are constructed in the case $H < \frac{1}{2}$. However, the observations of the real financial markets demonstrate that the Hurst index often falls below the level of $\frac{1}{2}$, taking values around 0.45–0.49 ([3]). In order to consider the case of $H < \frac{1}{2}$ and to overcome the technical difficulties connected with singular kernels, we construct comparatively simple estimator that is similar in form to the maximum likelihood estimator for Langevin equation with standard Brownian motion. Observations are assumed to be discrete in time and we assume that the interval between observations is n^{-1}, i.e. tends to zero, so we consider high frequency data. At the same time, the number of observations increases to infinity with the speed n^m with $m > 1$. Let $n \geq 1$, $t_{k,n} = \frac{k}{n}$, $0 \leq k \leq n^m$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be some fixed number. Suppose that we observe X at the points $\{t_{k,n}, n \geq 1, 0 \leq k \leq n^m\}$. Consider the estimator

$$\widehat{\theta}_n(m) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n} \Delta X_{k,n}}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}^2}, \tag{6}$$

where $X_{k,n} = X_{t_{k,n}}$, $\Delta X_{k,n} = X_{k+1,n} - X_{k,n}$.

By (1), estimator $\widehat{\theta}_n(m)$ from (6) can be represented in the following form, which is more convenient for evaluation:

$$\widehat{\theta}_n(m) = \theta + \frac{\theta \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n} \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} (X_s - X_{k,n}) \, ds + \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n} \Delta B_{k,n}^H}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}^2}. \tag{7}$$

It is proved that for positive θ the estimator is strongly consistent for any $m > 1$ and for negative θ it is consistent for $m > \frac{1}{2H}$.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we consider auxiliary result, namely, bounds with probability 1 for the values and increments of fractional Brownian motion and fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process. The bounds are factorized to the increasing non-random function and random variable not depending on time. In Section 3 we get the bounds for the numerator of the estimator, while in Section 4 we relate discretized integral sum in the denominator of the estimator to the corresponding integral $\int_0^t X_s^2 \, ds$. This relation is convenient for some values of parameters because it is easier to apply L'Hôpital's rule to the integral $\int_0^t X_s^2 \, ds$ than Stolz–Cesàro theorem to the sum $\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}^2$ with terms depending on n. Section 5 contains two main theorems, Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.4 on strong consistency for $\theta > 0$ and consistency for $\theta < 0$. Section 6 contains some auxiliary results and Section 7 is devoted to numerics.

2. Bounds for the values and increments of fractional Brownian motion and fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process

In what follows we shall use next auxiliary estimates for the rate of asymptotic growth with probability 1 of the fractional Brownian motion and its increments. Throughout the paper considering functions of the form $t^p \log t$, $p > 0$ we suppose that $0 \cdot \infty = 0$.

Proposition 2.1.   *(i) For any $p > 1$ and any $H \in (0,1)$ there exists nonnegative random variable $\xi(p, H)$ such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| B_s^H \right| \leq \left(\left(t^H |\log t|^p \right) \vee 1 \right) \xi(p, H), \tag{8}$$

and there exists such number $c_\xi(p, H) > 0$ that for any $0 < y < c_\xi(p, H)$, $\mathbf{E} \exp\{y\xi^2(p, H)\} < \infty$.

(ii) For any $q > \frac{1}{2}$ and any $H \in (0,1)$ there exists nonnegative random variable $\eta(q, H)$ such that for any $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$

$$\left| B_{t_2}^H - B_{t_1}^H \right| \leq (t_2 - t_1)^H \left(|\log(t_2 - t_1)|^{1/2} + 1 \right) (\log(t_2 + 2))^q \eta(q, H), \tag{9}$$

and there exists such number $c_\eta(q, H) > 0$ that for any $0 < y < c_\eta(q, H)$, $\mathbf{E} \exp\{y\eta^2(q, H)\} < \infty$.

Proof. The 1st statement was proved in the paper [16]. The 2nd statement follows immediately from the next relation that can be proved similarly to Theorem 1 from [18], where even more complicated functional than the increment of fractional Brownian motion, more precisely, fractional derivative, was considered. So, we have from Theorem 1, [18], that for any $q > \frac{1}{2}$ and any $H \in (0,1)$ the random variable

$$\eta(q, H) = \sup_{0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq t_1 + 1} \frac{\left| B_{t_1}^H - B_{t_2}^H \right|}{(t_2 - t_1)^H \left(|\log(t_2 - t_1)|^{1/2} + 1 \right) (\log(t_2 + 2))^q}$$

is finite almost surely, whence *(ii)* follows. $\square$

Now our goal is to estimate the numerator in (7) and compare it to the denominator. At first, we write the bounds for the values of X and its increments.

Lemma 2.2. *We have the following bounds for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process X in terms of supporting fractional Brownian motion:*

(i) Let $\theta > 0$. Then for any $t > 0$

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| \leq |x_0| e^{\theta t} + \theta e^{\theta t} \int_0^t e^{-\theta s} \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \left| B_u^H \right| ds + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| B_s^H \right| \tag{10}$$

and for any $s \in \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n} \right)$

$$\sup_{\frac{k}{n} \leq u \leq s} |X_u - X_{k,n}| \leq \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^s \left(e^{\theta u} \left(|x_0| + \theta \int_0^u e^{-\theta v} \sup_{0 \leq z \leq v} \left| B_z^H \right| dv \right) \right.$$
$$\left. + \sup_{0 \leq z \leq u} \left| B_z^H \right| \right) du + \sup_{\frac{k}{n} \leq u \leq s} \left| B_u^H - B_{k,n}^H \right|. \tag{11}$$

(ii) Let $\theta < 0$. Then for any $t > 0$

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| \leq |x_0| + 2 \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| B_s^H \right| \tag{12}$$

and for any $s \in \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}\right)$

$$\sup_{\frac{k}{n} \le u \le s} |X_u - X_{k,n}| \le \frac{|\theta| \, |x_0|}{n} + \frac{2 \, |\theta|}{n} \sup_{0 \le u \le s} |B_u^H| + \sup_{\frac{k}{n} \le u \le s} |B_u^H - B_{k,n}^H| . \tag{13}$$

Proof. (i) Bound (10) follows immediately from (2), and bound (11) follows immediately from (10) and (1).

(ii) Bound (12) follows from (2):

$$|X_t| \le |x_0| \, e^{\theta t} + |\theta| \, e^{\theta t} \sup_{0 \le s \le t} |B_s^H| \cdot \int_0^t e^{-\theta s} ds + |B_t^H| \le |x_0| + 2 \sup_{0 \le s \le t} |B_s^H| .$$

To establish bound (13), we substitute (12) into the following inequality that can be easily obtained from (1): for $s \ge \frac{k}{n}$

$$|X_s - X_{k,n}| \le |\theta| \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^s |X_u| \, du + |B_s^H - B_{k,n}^H| .$$

$\square$

Remark 1. *Plugging $p = 2$ and $q = 1$ into the formulae (8)–(9), we get the following bounds:*

$$\sup_{0 \le s \le t} |B_s^H| \le \left(t^H \log^2 t + 1\right) \xi(2, H), \tag{14}$$

and for $s \in \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}\right]$

$$\begin{aligned} \left|B_s^H - B_{\frac{k}{n}}^H\right| &\le \left(s - \frac{k}{n}\right)^H \left(\left|\log\left(s - \frac{k}{n}\right)\right|^{1/2} + 1\right) \log(s+2)\eta(1, H) \\ &\le \left(\left(s - \frac{k}{n}\right)^H \left|\log\left(s - \frac{k}{n}\right)\right|^{1/2} + \left(s - \frac{k}{n}\right)^H\right) \log(s+2)\eta(1, H). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Function $f(x) = x^r \left|\log x\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is bounded on the interval $(0, 1]$ for any $r > 0$. Therefore

$$\left(s - \frac{k}{n}\right)^H \left|\log\left(s - \frac{k}{n}\right)\right|^{1/2} \le C \left(s - \frac{k}{n}\right)^{H-r}$$

for any $0 < r < H$. Furthermore, for $s \in \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}\right]$ we have that $\left(s - \frac{k}{n}\right)^H \le \left(s - \frac{k}{n}\right)^{H-r}$. Therefore, we get from (15) that for any $0 < r < H$ and for $s \in \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}\right]$

$$\left|B_s^H - B_{\frac{k}{n}}^H\right| \le C \left(s - \frac{k}{n}\right)^{H-r} \log(n^{m-1} + 2)\eta(1, H). \tag{16}$$

It follows immediately from (14) that for $\theta > 0$

$$\int_0^t e^{-\theta s} \sup_{0 \le u \le s} |B_u^H| \, ds \le \xi(2, H) \int_0^t e^{-\theta s} \left(s^H \log^2 s + 1\right) ds \le C\xi(2, H), \tag{17}$$

and therefore both integrals $\int_0^\infty e^{-\theta s} B_s^H ds$ and $\int_0^\infty e^{-\theta s} \sup_{0 \le u \le s} |B_u^H| ds$ exist with probability 1 and admit the same upper bound $C\xi(2,H)$. Combining (10)–(13), (14) and (16), we get that for $\theta > 0$

$$\sup_{0 \le u \le s} |X_u| \le |x_0| e^{\theta s} + C\theta e^{\theta s} \xi(2,H) + \left(s^H \log^2 s + 1 \right) \xi(2,H),$$

and for $s \in \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n} \right]$

$$\sup_{\frac{k}{n} \le u \le s} |X_u - X_{k,n}| \le \theta \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^s \left(e^{\theta u} \left(|x_0| + C\theta \xi(2,H) \right) \right.$$
$$+ \left. (u^H \log^2 u + 1)\xi(2,H) \right) du + \left(n^{-H+r} \log n \right) \eta(1,H),$$

while for $\theta < 0$

$$\sup_{0 \le u \le s} |X_u| \le |x_0| + 2 \left(s^H \log^2 s + 1 \right) \xi(2,H),$$

and for $s \in \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n} \right]$

$$\sup_{\frac{k}{n} \le u \le s} |X_u - X_{k,n}| \le \frac{|\theta| \, |x_0|}{n} + \frac{2 \, |\theta|}{n} \sup_{0 \le u \le s} \left| B_u^H \right|$$
$$+ \sup_{\frac{k}{n} \le u \le s} \left| B_u^H - B_{k,n}^H \right| \le \frac{|\theta| \, |x_0|}{n} + \frac{2 \, |\theta|}{n} \left(s^H \log^2 s + 1 \right) \xi(2,H)$$
$$+ \left(n^{-H+r} \log n \right) \eta(1,H).$$

To simplify the notations, we denote by C any constant whose value is not important for our bounds. Furthermore, we denote by $\mathfrak{Z}$ the class of nonnegative random variables with the property: there exists $C > 0$ not depending on n such that $\mathbf{E} \exp\{x\zeta^2\} < \infty$ for any $0 < x < C$. For example, $\xi(2,H) + C$ and $\eta(1,H) + C$, $C\xi(2,H)$ and $C\eta(1,H)$ for any constant C belong to $\mathfrak{Z}$. Also, note that for fixed $m > 1$ and $n > 3$ we have the upper bound $\log \left(n^{m-1} + 3 \right) \le C \log n$. Moreover, for any $\alpha > 0$ there exists such $n(\alpha)$ that for $n \ge n(\alpha)$ we have $\log n < n^\alpha$. Taking this into account and using the simplified notations, we get the bounds with the same $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}$: for $\theta > 0$ we have for any fixed $\alpha > 0$, starting with $n \ge n(\alpha)$:

$$\sup_{0 \le u \le s} |X_u| \le \left(e^{\theta s} + s^H \log^2 s \right) \zeta \tag{18}$$

and for $s \in \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n} \right]$

$$\sup_{\frac{k}{n} \le u \le s} |X_u - X_{k,n}| \le \left(\frac{1}{n} e^{\theta s} + \frac{1}{n} s^H \log^2 s + n^{-H+\alpha} \right) \zeta, \tag{19}$$

while for $\theta < 0$

$$\sup_{0 \le u \le s} |X_u| \le \left(1 + s^H \log^2 s \right) \zeta \tag{20}$$

and for $s \in \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n} \right]$

$$\sup_{\frac{k}{n} \le u \le s} |X_u - X_{k,n}| \le \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} s^H \log^2 s + n^{-H+\alpha} \right) \zeta. \tag{21}$$

3. Bounds for the numerator of the estimator

Now we are in position to bound both terms in the numerator of the right-hand side of (7). At first, give the bound with probability 1 for the 1st term in the numerator of (7). All inequalities claimed in Lemma 3.1 hold for any $\alpha > 0$ starting with some nonrandom number $n(\alpha)$.

Lemma 3.1. (i) *Let $\theta > 0$. Then for any $m > 1$ there exists such $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}$ that*

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n} \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} (X_s - X_{k,n}) \, ds \right| \leq \zeta^2 n^{-1} e^{2\theta n^{m-1}}.$$

(ii) *Let $\theta < 0$. Then we have two cases.*

   (a) Let $1 < m \leq \frac{1}{H}$. Then there exists such $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}$ that

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n} \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} (X_s - X_{k,n}) \, ds \right| \leq \zeta^2 n^{mH+m-2H-1+\alpha}.$$

   (b) Let $m > \frac{1}{H}$. Then there exists such $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}$ that

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n} \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} (X_s - X_{k,n}) \, ds \right| \leq \zeta^2 n^{2Hm+m-2H-2+\alpha}.$$

Proof. (i) It follows immediately from (18) that

$$|X_{k,n}| \leq \sup_{0 \leq u \leq \frac{k+1}{n}} |X_u| \leq \left(e^{\theta \frac{k+1}{n}} + \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right)^H \log^2 \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right) \right) \zeta. \qquad (22)$$

Now we take into account (22), substitute $\frac{k+1}{n}$ instead of s into (19) and apply

Lemma 6.1 to get for any $\alpha > 0$ the following relations:

$$
\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n} \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} (X_s - X_{k,n})\, ds \right| \leq \zeta^2 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} \left(e^{\theta \frac{k+1}{n}} + \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right)^H \log^2 \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right) \right)
$$
$$
\times \left(\frac{1}{n} e^{\theta \frac{k+1}{n}} + \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right)^H \log^2 \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right) + n^{-H+\alpha} \log n \right)
$$
$$
= \zeta^2 \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} e^{2\theta \frac{k+1}{n}} + \frac{2}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} e^{\theta \frac{k+1}{n}} \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right)^H \log^2 \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right) \right.
$$
$$
+ \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right)^{2H} \log^4 \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right) + n^{-1-H+\alpha} \log n
$$
$$
\left. \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} e^{\theta \frac{k+1}{n}} + \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right)^H \log^2 \frac{k+1}{n} \right) \right)
$$
$$
\leq \zeta^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} e^{2\theta n^{m-1}} + e^{\theta n^{m-1}} \left(n^{H(m-1)+m-2+\alpha} + n^{-H+\alpha} \right) \right.
$$
$$
\left. + n^{m-1-H+(m-1)H+\alpha} \right)
$$

$$(23)$$

Evidently, the term $\frac{1}{n} e^{2\theta n^{m-1}}$ dominates and other terms are negligible, whence the proof of (i) follows.

(ii) According to (20), now

$$
|X_{k,n}| \leq \sup_{0 \leq u \leq \frac{k+1}{n}} |X_u| \leq \left(1 + \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right)^H \log^2 \frac{k+1}{n} \right) \zeta.
$$

Substituting $\frac{k+1}{n}$ instead of s into (21), we get the following relations:

$$
\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n} \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} (X_s - X_{k,n})\, ds \right| \leq \zeta^2 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} \left(1 + \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right)^H \log^2 \frac{k+1}{n} \right)
$$
$$
\times \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right)^H \log^2 \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right) + n^{-H+\alpha} \right)
$$
$$
= \zeta^2 \left(n^{m-2} + \frac{2}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right)^H \log^2 \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right) + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right)^{2H} \log^4 \frac{k+1}{n} \right.
$$
$$
\left. + n^{m-1-H+\alpha} + n^{-1-H+\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right)^H \log^2 \frac{k+1}{n} \right).
$$

$$(24)$$

Substituting the bounds from Lemma 6.1 into the right-hand side of (24), we

obtain

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n} \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} (X_s - X_{k,n}) \, ds \right| \leq \zeta^2 \Big(n^{m-2} + n^{(m-1)H-2+m} \log^2 n$$

$$+ n^{2H(m-1)-2+m} \log^4 n + n^{m-1-H+\alpha} + n^{(m-2)H-1+m+\alpha} \log^2 n \Big).$$

We take into account that $\log n = o(n^\alpha)$ as $n \to \infty$, for any $\alpha > 0$. So, it is necessary to compare exponents $m-2$, $(m-1)H-2+m$, $2H(m-1)-2+m$, $m-1-H$ and $(m-2)H-1+m$. We get that exponent $2H(m-1)-2+m$ is the biggest under the condition $m > \frac{1}{H}$ while exponent $(m-2)H-1+m$ is the biggest under the condition $m \leq \frac{1}{H}$ whence the proof of (ii) follows. $\qquad \square$

Now we establish moment bounds for the 2nd term in the numerator of the right-hand side of (7). In order to do this, we apply well-known Isserlis' formula for calculation of higher moments of Gaussian distribution: let $\{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4\}$ be a Gaussian vector, then

$$\mathbf{E}(\chi_1\chi_2\chi_3\chi_4) = \mathbf{E}(\chi_1\chi_2)\mathbf{E}(\chi_3\chi_4) + \mathbf{E}(\chi_1\chi_3)\mathbf{E}(\chi_2\chi_4) + \mathbf{E}(\chi_1\chi_4)\mathbf{E}(\chi_2\chi_3).$$

Therefore, we can calculate mathematical expectations $\mathbf{E}B_s^H B_u^H \Delta B_k^H \Delta B_j^H$ for $k \neq j$ as

$$\mathbf{E}B_s^H B_u^H \Delta B_k^H \Delta B_j^H = \mathbf{E}B_u^H \Delta B_k^H \mathbf{E}B_s^H \Delta B_j^H + \mathbf{E}B_s^H \Delta B_k^H \mathbf{E}B_u^H \Delta B_j^H$$

$$+ \mathbf{E}B_s^H B_u^H \mathbf{E}\Delta B_k^H \Delta B_j^H \leq \mathbf{E}B_u^H \Delta B_k^H \mathbf{E}B_s^H \Delta B_j^H$$

$$+ \mathbf{E}B_s^H \Delta B_k^H \mathbf{E}B_u^H \Delta B_j^H \quad (25)$$

because for $H \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ the increments of fBm B^H are negatively correlated and so $\mathbf{E}\Delta B_k^H \Delta B_j^H < 0$. Similarly,

$$\mathbf{E}B_s^H B_u^H \left(\Delta B_{k,n}^H\right)^2 = 2\mathbf{E}B_u^H \Delta B_{k,n}^H \mathbf{E}B_s^H \Delta B_{k,n}^H + n^{-2H} \mathbf{E}B_s^H B_u^H. \quad (26)$$

Lemma 3.2. (i) *Let $\theta > 0$. Then for any $m > 1$ we have the following moment bound*

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n} \Delta B_{k,n}^H\right)^2 \leq Cn^{2-4H} e^{2\theta n^{m-1}}.$$

(ii) *Let $\theta < 0$. Then for any $m > 1$ we have the following moment bound*

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n} \Delta B_{k,n}^H\right)^2 \leq Cn^{2m-4H}.$$

Proof. (i) It follows from (2) that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n} \Delta B_{k,n}^H = x_0 \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} e^{\theta \frac{k}{n}} \Delta B_{k,n}^H$$

$$+ \theta \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} e^{\theta \frac{k}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} e^{-\theta s} B_s^H \, ds \cdot \Delta B_{k,n}^H + \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} B_{k,n}^H \Delta B_{k,n}^H =: I_n^1 + I_n^2 + I_n^3.$$

Remind that $\mathbf{E}\Delta B_{k,n}^H \Delta B_{j,n}^H < 0$ for $k \neq j$. Therefore

$$0 \leq \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} e^{\theta \frac{k}{n}} \Delta B_{k,n}^H\right)^2$$

$$\leq n^{-2H} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} e^{2\theta \frac{k}{n}} \leq n^{1-2H} \int_0^{n^{m-1}} e^{2\theta s} ds = Cn^{1-2H} e^{2\theta n^{m-1}}.$$

So, $\mathbf{E}\left(I_n^1\right)^2 \leq Cn^{1-2H} e^{2\theta n^{m-1}}$, $n \geq 1$.

Consider I_n^3. It is well known (see, e.g., the relation (1.8) from [19]) that for $H \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$

$$n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} B_{k,n}^H \Delta B_{k,n}^H \xrightarrow{L^2} -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}\left(n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} B_{k,n}^H \Delta B_{k,n}^H\right)^2 \leq C, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Now we can use the self-similarity property of B^H, namely,

$$\left(B_{at}^H, t \geq 0\right) \overset{d}{=} a^H\left(B_t^H, t \geq 0\right)$$

and get

$$\mathbf{E}\left(I_n^3\right)^2 = \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} B_{k,n}^H \Delta B_{k,n}^H\right)^2$$

$$= n^{4(1-m)H} \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} B_{\frac{k}{n^m}}^H \left(B_{\frac{k+1}{n^m}}^H - B_{\frac{k}{n^m}}^H\right)\right)^2$$

$$\leq n^{4(1-m)H} \cdot n^{2m(1-2H)} \mathbf{E}\left(n^{m(2H-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} B_{\frac{k}{n^m}}^H \left(B_{\frac{k+1}{n^m}}^H - B_{\frac{k}{n^m}}^H\right)\right)^2$$

$$\leq Cn^{4H-4mH+2m-4mH} = Cn^{4H+2m-8mH}.$$

At last,

$$0 \leq \mathbf{E}\left(I_n^2\right)^2 = \theta^2 \sum_{j,k=0}^{n^m-1} e^{\theta\frac{k}{n}+\theta\frac{j}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{j}{n}} e^{-\theta s - \theta u} \mathbf{E}B_s^H B_u^H \Delta B_{k,n}^H \Delta B_{j,n}^H \, du \, ds$$

$$= \theta^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} e^{2\theta\frac{k}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} e^{-\theta s - \theta u} \mathbf{E}B_s^H B_u^H \left(\Delta B_{k,n}^H\right)^2 \, du \, ds$$

$$+ \theta^2 \sum_{k \neq j} e^{\theta\frac{k}{n}+\theta\frac{j}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{j}{n}} e^{-\theta s - \theta u} \mathbf{E}B_s^H B_u^H \Delta B_{k,n}^H \Delta B_{j,n}^H \, du \, ds =: J_1^n + J_2^n.$$

$$(27)$$

We get from (25) and (26) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} B_s^H B_u^H \left(\Delta B_{k,n}^H \right)^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\tfrac{k+1}{n} \right)^{2H} - \left| u - \tfrac{k+1}{n} \right|^{2H} - \left(\tfrac{k}{n} \right)^{2H} + \left| u - \tfrac{k}{n} \right|^{2H} \right) \\
&\times \left(\left(\tfrac{k+1}{n} \right)^{2H} - \left| s - \tfrac{k+1}{n} \right|^{2H} - \left(\tfrac{k}{n} \right)^{2H} + \left| s - \tfrac{k}{n} \right|^{2H} \right) + n^{-2H} \mathbf{E} B_s^H B_u^H \\
&\leq C n^{-4H} + C n^{-2H} \left(s^{2H} + u^{2H} - |s - u|^{2H} \right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{28}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} B_s^H B_u^H \Delta B_k^H \Delta B_j^H &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\tfrac{k+1}{n} \right)^{2H} - \left(\tfrac{k}{n} \right)^{2H} - \left| u - \tfrac{k+1}{n} \right|^{2H} + \left| u - \tfrac{k}{n} \right|^{2H} \right) \\
&\times \left(\left(\tfrac{k+1}{n} \right)^{2H} - \left(\tfrac{k}{n} \right)^{2H} - \left| s - \tfrac{k+1}{n} \right|^{2H} + \left| s - \tfrac{k}{n} \right|^{2H} \right) \leq C n^{-4H}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{29}
$$

Substituting the above bounds into (27), we get that

$$
J_1^n \leq C n^{1-2H} e^{2\theta n^{m-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad J_2^n \leq C n^{2-4H} e^{2\theta n^{m-1}}.
$$

Evidently, for $m > 1$ the biggest contribution is from the bound $C n^{2-4H} e^{2\theta n^{m-1}}$ whence the proof follows.

(ii) Let $\theta < 0$. In this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} e^{\theta \frac{k}{n}} \Delta B_{k,n}^H \right)^2 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} e^{2\theta \frac{k}{n}} \mathbf{E} \left(\Delta B_{k,n}^H \right)^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} \mathbf{E} \left(\Delta B_{k,n}^H \right)^2 = n^m n^{-2H} = n^{m-2H}.
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\mathbf{E} \left(I_n^1 \right)^2 \leq C n^{m-2H}$, $n \geq 1$.

The term I_n^3 is estimated as before, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \mathbf{E} \left(I_n^2 \right)^2 &= \theta^2 \sum_{j,k=0}^{n^m-1} e^{\theta \frac{k}{n} + \theta \frac{j}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{j}{n}} e^{-\theta s - \theta u} \mathbf{E} B_s^H B_u^H \Delta B_{k,n}^H \Delta B_{j,n}^H \, du \, ds \\
&= \theta^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} e^{2\theta \frac{k}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} e^{-\theta s - \theta u} \mathbf{E} B_s^H B_u^H \left(\Delta B_{k,n}^H \right)^2 \, du \, ds \\
&\quad + \theta^2 \sum_{k \neq j} e^{\theta \frac{k}{n} + \theta \frac{j}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{j}{n}} e^{-\theta s - \theta u} \mathbf{E} B_s^H B_u^H \Delta B_{k,n}^H \Delta B_{j,n}^H \, du \, ds =: J_1^n + J_2^n.
\end{aligned}
\tag{30}
$$

Substituting bounds (28) and (29) into (30), we get that

$$J_1^n \leq Cn^{-4H} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} e^{2\theta \frac{k}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} e^{-\theta s - \theta u} ds\, du$$

$$+Cn^{-2H} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} e^{2\theta \frac{k}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} e^{-\theta s - \theta u} \left(s^{2H} + u^{2H} - |s-u|^{2H}\right) ds\, du$$

$$\leq Cn^{2m-4H} + Cn^{-2H} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} e^{\theta \frac{k}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} e^{-\theta s} s^{2H} ds$$

$$\leq Cn^{2m-4H} + Cn^{1-2H} \int_0^{n^{m-1}} e^{\theta s} \int_0^s e^{-\theta u} u^{2H} du\, ds$$

$$\leq Cn^{2m-4H} + Cn^{1-2H} e^{\theta n^{m-1}} \int_0^{n^{m-1}} e^{-\theta u} u^{2H} du + Cn^{1-2H} \int_0^{n^{m-1}} u^{2H} du$$

$$\leq Cn^{2m-4H} + Cn^{1-2H} \int_0^{n^{m-1}} u^{2H} du$$

$$\leq Cn^{2m-4H} + Cn^{2Hm+m-4H} \leq Cn^{2m-4H}, \quad \text{and} \quad J_2^n \leq Cn^{2m-4H}.$$

Comparing exponents $2m - 4H$, $4H + 2m - 8Hm$ and $m - 2H$, we get that for $m > 1$ $2m - 4H$ is the biggest one, whence the proof follows. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 3.3. (i) *Let* $\theta > 0$. *Then*

$$\mathbf{E}\left(n^{4H-2} e^{-2\theta n^{m-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n} \Delta B_{k,n}^H\right)^2 \leq C.$$

If we denote $\xi_n = n^{2H-1} e^{-\theta n^{m-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n} \Delta B_{k,n}^H$ *then* $\sup_{n\geq 1} \mathbf{E}\xi_n^2 < \infty$. *It means that for any* $m > 1$ *the numerator of* (7) *can be bounded by the sum*

$$\zeta^2 n^{-1} e^{2\theta n^{m-1}} + n^{1-2H} e^{\theta n^{m-1}} \xi_n,$$

where $\sup_{n\geq 1} \mathbf{E}\xi_n^2 < \infty$.
  (ii) *Let* $\theta < 0$. *Then we have two cases.*

  (a) *Let* $1 < m \leq \frac{1}{H}$. *Then for any* $\alpha > 0$ *the numerator of* (7) *can be bounded by the sum*
$$\zeta^2 n^{(m-2)H+m-1+\alpha} + n^{m-2H} \xi_n,$$
  where $\sup_{n\geq 1} \mathbf{E}\xi_n^2 < \infty$.
  (b) *Let* $m > \frac{1}{H}$. *Then for any* $\alpha > 0$ *the numerator of* (7) *can be bounded by the sum*
$$\zeta^2 n^{(2H+1)m-2H-2+\alpha} + n^{m-2H} \xi_n,$$
  where $\sup_{n\geq 1} \mathbf{E}\xi_n^2 < \infty$.

4. How to deal with the denominator

Now our goal is to present the denominator of (7) in more convenient form. At first we compare the sum $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}^2$ to the corresponding integral $\int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s^2\,ds$. The reason to replace the sum with the corresponding integral is that for some values of H and m we can prove the consistency with the help of some kind of L'Hôpital's rule, however, the application of L'Hôpital's rule or Stolz-Cesàro theorem to the sum $\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}^2$ is problematic because not only the upper bound but the terms in the sum depend on n.

Lemma 4.1. (i) *Let $\theta > 0$. Then there exists such $\zeta_1 \in \mathfrak{Z}$ that*

$$\left| \int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s^2\,ds - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}^2 \right| \leq \frac{\zeta_1^2}{n} e^{2\theta n^{m-1}}.$$

(ii) *Let $\theta < 0$. Then we have two cases.*

(a) *Let $1 < m \leq \frac{1}{H}$. Then there exists such $\zeta_1 \in \mathfrak{Z}$ that for any $\beta > 0$ we have the following bound*

$$\left| \int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s^2\,ds - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}^2 \right| \leq \zeta_1^2 n^{mH+m-2H-1+\beta}.$$

(b) *Let $m > \frac{1}{H}$. Then there exists such $\zeta_1 \in \mathfrak{Z}$ that for any $\beta > 0$ we have the following bound*

$$\left| \int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s^2\,ds - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}^2 \right| \leq \zeta_1^2 n^{2mH+m-2H-2+\beta}.$$

Proof. Evidently, the difference between the integral and the corresponding integral sum can be bounded as

$$\left| \int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s^2\,ds - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}^2 \right| \leq \int_0^{n^{m-1}} |\varphi_n(s)|\,ds,$$

where the integrand has the form

$$\varphi_n(s) = \left(X_s^2 - X_{k,n}^2 \right) \mathbf{1}_{s \in \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n} \right)}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n^m - 1.$$

Furthermore, the integrand can be bounded as

$$|\varphi_n(s)| \leq |X_s - X_{k,n}|\left(|X_s| + |X_{k,n}| \right) \mathbf{1}_{s \in \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n} \right)}$$
$$\leq 2|X_s - X_{k,n}| \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} |X_u| \mathbf{1}_{s \in \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n} \right)}.$$

(i) Let $\theta > 0$. Then from (18), (19) and similarly to (23),

$$\left|X_s - X_{k,n}\right| \sup_{0 \le u \le s} |X_u| \mathbf{1}_{s \in \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}\right)} \le \left(n^{-1}e^{2\theta s} + 2n^{-1}e^{\theta s}s^H \log^2 s\right.$$
$$\left. + e^{\theta s}n^{-H+\alpha} + n^{-1}s^{2H} \log^4 s + n^{-H+r}s^H \log^2 s\right)\zeta_1^2. \tag{31}$$

Integrating over $[0, n^{m-1}]$, we see that the integral of the first term in the right-hand side of (31) dominates, whence the proof follows.

(ii) Let $\theta < 0$. Then according to (20)–(21),

$$\left|X_s - X_{k,n}\right| \sup_{0 \le u \le s} |X_u| \mathbf{1}_{s \in \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}\right)} \le \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)^H \log^2 \left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)\right.$$
$$\left. + n^{-H+\alpha}\right)\left(1 + \left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)^H \log^2 \left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)\right)\zeta_1^2,$$

therefore

$$\int_0^{n^{m-1}} |\varphi_n(s)|\, ds \le \left(n^{m-2} + \frac{2}{n^2}\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1}\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)^H \log^2 \frac{k+1}{n}\right.$$
$$+ \frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1}\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)^{2H} \log^4 \frac{k+1}{n} + n^{m-H-1+\alpha} \tag{32}$$
$$\left. + n^{-H-1+\alpha}\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1}\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)^H \log^2 \frac{k+1}{n}\right)\zeta_1^2.$$

To get rid of logarithms, we apply Lemma 6.1 to (32) and obtain that for any $\beta > 0$

$$\int_0^{n^{m-1}} |\varphi_n(s)|\, ds \le \left(n^{m-2} + n^{mH+m-H-2+\beta} + n^{m-H-1+\beta}\right.$$
$$\left. + n^{2Hm+m-2H-2+\beta} + n^{mH+m-2H-1+\beta}\right)\zeta_1^2.$$

Comparing the exponents $m-2$, $mH+m-H-2$, $m-H-1$, $2Hm+m-2H-2$ and $mH+m-2H-1$, we deduce that for $1 < m \le \frac{1}{H}$ the biggest exponent equals $mH+m-2H-1$, and for $m > \frac{1}{H}$ the biggest exponent equals $2Hm+m-2H-2$, whence the proof follows. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 4.2. (i) *Let $\theta > 0$. Then there exists such $\zeta_1 \in \mathfrak{Z}$ that*

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}^2 = \int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s^2\, ds + \vartheta_n,$$

where

$$|\vartheta_n| \le \frac{\zeta_1^2}{n}e^{2\theta n^{m-1}}.$$

(ii) *Let $\theta < 0$. Then we have two cases.*

(a) *Let* $1 < m \leq \frac{1}{H}$. *Then there exists such* $\zeta_1 \in \mathfrak{Z}$ *that for any* $\beta > 0$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m - 1} X_{k,n}^2 = \int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s^2 \, ds + \vartheta_n(\beta), \tag{33}$$

where

$$|\vartheta_n(\beta)| \leq \zeta_1^2 n^{mH + m - 2H - 1 + \beta}. \tag{34}$$

(b) *Let* $m > \frac{1}{H}$. *Then for any* $\beta > 0$ *representation* (33) *holds with*

$$|\vartheta_n(\beta)| \leq \zeta_1^2 n^{2mH + m - 2H - 2 + \beta}.$$

5. Main consistency results

Consider separately cases $\theta > 0$ and $\theta < 0$. The case $\theta > 0$ is more simple and additionally the estimator is strongly consistent. The case $\theta < 0$ needs some additional calculations and we prove only that the estimator is consistent.

Theorem 5.1. *Let* $\theta > 0$. *Then for any* $m > 1$ *estimator* $\widehat{\theta}_n(m)$ *is strongly consistent.*

Proof. According to Corollaries 3.3 and 4.2, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\psi_n := \frac{\zeta^2 n^{-1} e^{2\theta n^{m-1}} + n^{1-2H} e^{\theta n^{m-1}} \xi_n}{\int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s^2 \, ds + \vartheta_n} \to 0$$

a.s. as $n \to \infty$, where $\sup_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{E}\xi_n^2 < \infty$ and $|\vartheta_n| \leq \frac{\zeta_1^2}{n} e^{2\theta n^{m-1}}$. Rewrite ψ_n as

$$\psi_n := \frac{\zeta^2 n^{-1} + n^{1-2H} e^{-\theta n^{m-1}} \xi_n}{e^{-2\theta n^{m-1}} \int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s^2 \, ds + e^{-2\theta n^{m-1}} \vartheta_n}.$$

Evidently, $\zeta^2 n^{-1} \to 0$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$. Furthermore, for any $x > 0$

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{ n^{1-2H} e^{-\theta n^{m-1}} \xi_n > x \right\} \leq \frac{\mathbf{E}\xi_n^2}{x^2 n^{4H-2} e^{2\theta n^{m-1}}} \leq \frac{C}{x^2 n^{4H-2} e^{2\theta n^{m-1}}},$$

and the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{4H-2} e^{2\theta n^{m-1}}}$ converges. It means by Borel–Cantelli lemma that $n^{1-2H} e^{-\theta n^{m-1}} \xi_n \to 0$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$. Evidently, $e^{-2\theta n^{m-1}} |\vartheta_n| \leq \frac{\zeta_1^2}{n} \to 0$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$. At last, according to (2) and the L'Hôpital's rule,

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\int_0^T X_s^2 \, ds}{e^{2\theta T}} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{X_T^2}{2\theta e^{2\theta T}} = (2\theta)^{-1} \left(x_0 + \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\theta s} B_s^H \, ds \right)^2,$$

and the limit random variable is positive a.s. as the square of Gaussian variable, whence the proof follows. $\square$

In the case when $\theta < 0$ we can establish consistency, but not the strong consistency of (7) as $n \to \infty$. According to Corollaries 3.3 and 4.2, we need to bound the following random variables: for $1 < m \le \frac{1}{H}$

$$K_n^1(m, \alpha, \beta) := \frac{n^{mH+m-2H-1+\alpha}}{\int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s^2 \, ds + \vartheta_n} \quad \text{and} \quad K_n^2 := \frac{n^{m-2H} \xi_n}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}^2}.$$

and for $m > \frac{1}{H}$

$$\widetilde{K}_n^1(m, \alpha, \beta) := \frac{n^{2Hm+m-2H-2+\alpha}}{\int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s^2 \, ds + \vartheta_n}$$

and the same K_n^2.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\theta < 0$.*

(i) *For any $1 < m < \frac{2H+1}{H+1} < \frac{1}{H}$ there exist such $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ that*

$$K_n^1(m, \alpha, \beta) \to 0$$

a.s. as $n \to \infty$.

(ii) *For any $\frac{2H+1}{H+1} \le m \le \frac{1}{H}$ there exist such $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ that*

$$K_n^1(m, \alpha, \beta) \to 0$$

in probability as $n \to \infty$.

(iii) *There exist such $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ that for any $m > \frac{1}{H}$*

$$\widetilde{K}_n^1(m, \alpha, \beta) \to 0$$

in probability as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. (i) Let $1 < m < \frac{2H+1}{H+1} < \frac{1}{H}$. Then exponent $mH+m-2H-1$ is negative. Indeed, for $H < \frac{1}{2}$ we have inequality $\frac{2H+1}{H+1} < \frac{1}{H}$. At first, choose $\alpha > 0$ so that $mH + m - 2H - 1 + \alpha < 0$ and put $\beta = \alpha$. Then it is sufficient to note that $n^{mH+m-2H-1+\alpha} \to 0$ and $\vartheta_n \to 0$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$ while the integral $\int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s^2 \, ds$ is increasing with probability 1 and tends to nonzero with probability 1 random variable as $n \to \infty$.

(ii) Let $\frac{2H+1}{H+1} \le m \le \frac{1}{H}$. Then exponent $mH+m-2H-1$ is positive. Choose $\alpha = \beta$. It is sufficient to prove that there exists such $\alpha > 0$ that

$$n^{-mH-m+2H+1-\alpha} \int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s^2 \, ds + n^{-mH-m+2H+1-\alpha} \vartheta_n(\alpha) \to \infty$$

in probability as $n \to \infty$. In view of (34) it is equivalent to

$$n^{-mH-m+2H+1-\alpha} \int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s^2 \, ds \to \infty \quad \text{in probability as } n \to \infty.$$

To establish this convergence note that it follows from Cauchy–Schwarz inequality that

$$n^{-mH-m+2H+1-\alpha} \int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s^2 \, ds \geq n^{-mH-2m+2H+2-\alpha} \left(\int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s \, ds \right)^2 .$$

Denote $\gamma = -mH - 2m + 2H + 2 - \alpha < 0$. Without loss of generality suppose that $x_0 > 0$. Note that $\int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s \, ds$ is a Gaussian process with mean

$$e_n = \frac{x_0}{\theta} \left(e^{\theta n^{m-1}} - 1 \right) \in (0, -\frac{x_0}{\theta})$$

and variance

$$\sigma_n^2 = \int_0^{n^{m-1}} \int_0^{n^{m-1}} \mathbf{E} X_s X_t \, ds \, dt - e_n^2$$

$$= \int_0^{n^{m-1}} \int_0^{n^{m-1}} \mathbf{E} \left(\theta e^{\theta s} \int_0^s e^{-\theta u} B_u^H \, du + B_s^H \right)$$

$$\times \left(\theta e^{\theta t} \int_0^t e^{-\theta z} B_z^H \, dz + B_t^H \right) ds \, dt. \quad (35)$$

Since for any $u, z > 0$ we have $\mathbf{E} B_u^H B_z^H > 0$, variance can be bounded from below by the following value

$$\sigma_n^2 \geq \int_0^{n^{m-1}} \int_0^{n^{m-1}} \mathbf{E} B_s^H B_t^H \, ds \, dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} n^{(m-1)(2H+2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left(s^{2H} + t^{2H} - |s-t|^{2H} \right) ds \, dt = C n^{(m-1)(2H+2)}.$$

(36)

Note that other terms in (35) are of the same order so bound (36) is sharp. Now, denoting $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ standard Gaussian random variable and $\Phi(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy$, we can deduce that for any $A > 0$ and sufficiently large n

$$\mathbf{P} \left\{ n^\gamma \left(\int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s \, ds \right)^2 \leq A^2 \right\} = \mathbf{P} \left\{ n^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \left| \int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s \, ds \right| \leq A \right\}$$

$$= \mathbf{P} \left\{ n^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} | \sigma_n \mathcal{N}(0,1) + e_n | \leq A \right\} = \Phi \left(\frac{A}{\sigma_n n^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}} - \frac{e_n}{\sigma_n} \right) - \Phi \left(-\frac{A}{\sigma_n n^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}} - \frac{e_n}{\sigma_n} \right)$$

$$\leq \left| \Phi \left(\frac{A}{\sigma_n n^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}} - \frac{e_n}{\sigma_n} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| \Phi \left(-\frac{A}{\sigma_n n^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}} - \frac{e_n}{\sigma_n} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq 2 \left(\frac{A}{\sigma_n n^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}} - \frac{e_n}{\sigma_n} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\sigma_n n^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}} \leq \frac{C}{n^{\frac{mH}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2}}}.$$

(37)

Choosing $0 < \alpha < mH$ we get the proof of (ii).

(iii). For $m > \frac{1}{H}$ exponent $2Hm + m - 2H - 2$ is positive. Therefore, we repeat the proof of (ii) with the same σ_n and with $\widetilde{\gamma} = -2Hm - 2m + 2H + 3 - \alpha$ instead of γ. So, in the inequality similar to (36), we get in the right-hand side the upper bound

$$\frac{C}{\sigma_n n^{\widetilde{\gamma}/2}} \leq \frac{C}{n^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2}}}.$$

Choosing $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ we get the proof of (iii).

$\square$

Remark 2. *We can prove more than it was mentioned in* (i)*, namely, to establish that*

$$\int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s^2 \, ds \to \infty$$

a.s. as $n \to \infty$ *(see Lemma 6.2 in Section 6).*

Lemma 5.3. *Let* $m > \frac{1}{2H}$. *Then* $K_n^2 \to 0$ *in probability as* $n \to \infty$.

Proof. We apply the same method as in the proof of Lemma 5.2, but to the sum $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}^2$ instead of integral $\int_0^{n^{m-1}} X_s^2 \, ds$. As before, suppose that $x_0 > 0$. According to Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}^2 \geq n^{-m-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n} \right)^2,$$

where $\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}$ is the Gaussian random variable with mean

$$0 < \widetilde{e}_n = x_0 \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} e^{\theta \frac{k}{n}} \leq nx_0 \int_0^{n^{m-1}} e^{\theta s} \, ds \leq -\frac{nx_0}{\theta}$$

and variance

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_n^2 = \sum_{k,j=0}^{n^m-1} \mathbf{E} X_{k,n} X_{j,n} \geq \sum_{k,j=0}^{n^m-1} \mathbf{E} B_{k,n}^H B_{j,n}^H$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,j=0}^{n^m-1} \left(\left(\tfrac{k}{n}\right)^{2H} + \left(\tfrac{j}{n}\right)^{2H} - \left|\tfrac{j}{n} - \tfrac{k}{n}\right|^{2H} \right)$$

$$= n^m \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} \left(\tfrac{k}{n}\right)^{2H} - \sum_{l=0}^{n^m-1} \left(\tfrac{l}{n}\right)^{2H} (n^m - l)$$

$$= n^{-2H} \sum_{l=0}^{n^m-1} l^{2H+1} = n^{-2H + m(2H+1) + m} \sum_{l=0}^{n^m-1} \left(\tfrac{l}{n^m}\right)^{2H+1} \tfrac{1}{n^m}$$

$$\geq C n^{-2H + m(2H+1) + m}.$$

Therefore, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_n > 0$

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{K_n^2 \geq \varepsilon\right\} \leq \mathbf{P}\left\{\frac{|\xi_n|\, n^{m-2H}}{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}^2} \geq \varepsilon\right\} \leq \mathbf{P}\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}^2 < x_n\right\}$$

$$+\mathbf{P}\left\{|\xi_n| > x_n \varepsilon n^{2H-m}\right\} \leq \mathbf{P}\left\{\frac{1}{n^{m+1}}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}\right)^2 < x_n\right\} + \frac{\mathbf{E}\xi_n^2}{x_n^2 \varepsilon^2 n^{4H-2m}}$$

$$\leq \mathbf{P}\left\{\frac{1}{n^{m+1}}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}\right)^2 < x_n\right\} + \frac{C}{x_n^2 \varepsilon^2 n^{4H-2m}}.$$

Similarly to (37),

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{\frac{1}{n^{m+1}}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} X_{k,n}\right)^2 < x_n\right\} = \mathbf{P}\left\{|\widetilde{\sigma}_n \mathcal{N}(0,1) + \widetilde{e}_n| < x_n^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\right\}$$

$$= \Phi\left(-\frac{\widetilde{e}_n}{\widetilde{\sigma}_n} + \frac{x_n^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{\frac{m+1}{2}}}{\widetilde{\sigma}_n}\right) - \Phi\left(-\frac{\widetilde{e}_n}{\widetilde{\sigma}_n} - \frac{x_n^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{\frac{m+1}{2}}}{\widetilde{\sigma}_n}\right) \leq C\left(\frac{x_n^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{\frac{m+1}{2}}}{\widetilde{\sigma}_n} + \frac{n}{\widetilde{\sigma}_n}\right).$$

Evidently, for any $m > 1$, $\dfrac{n}{\widetilde{\sigma}_n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, to supply convergence $K_n^2 \to 0$ in probability, we need to choose x_n in such a way that $\dfrac{1}{x_n^2 n^{4H-2m}} \to 0$ and $\dfrac{x_n^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{\frac{m+1}{2}}}{\widetilde{\sigma}_n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Put $x_n = n^r$. Then r must satisfy the double inequality

$$m - 2H < r < m + 2Hm - 2H - 1.$$

This inequality can be satisfied only for $m > \frac{1}{2H}$ whence the proof follows. $\quad\square$

Theorem 5.4. *Let $m > \frac{1}{2H}$. Then the estimator $\widehat{\theta}_n(m)$, introduced in (7), is consistent.*

6. Auxiliary results

At first we establish an auxiliary result concerning the bounds for several sums of integral type that will participate in the bounds for the numerator of (7)

Lemma 6.1. *For any $m > 1$ and $n \geq 2$ there exists $C > 0$ not depending on n such that*

(i)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} \left(\tfrac{k+1}{n}\right)^H \log^2 \tfrac{k+1}{n} \leq C n^{(m-1)H+m} \log^2 n,$$

(ii)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} \left(\tfrac{k+1}{n}\right)^{2H} \log^4 \tfrac{k+1}{n} \le C n^{2H(m-1)+m} \log^4 n.$$

Proof. We base the proof of both statements on the following evident relation: for any function $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ that is Riemann integrable on $[0,1]$, and for any $m \ge 1$ integral sums $S(f(x), n^m) := \tfrac{1}{n^m} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} f\left(\tfrac{k+1}{n^m}\right)$ tend to integral $\int_0^1 f(x) dx$ as $n \to \infty$. In particular, these integral sums are bounded. Consider the statement (i). Evidently,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} \left(\tfrac{k+1}{n}\right)^{H} \log^2 \tfrac{k+1}{n} = n^{(m-1)H} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} \left(\tfrac{k+1}{n^m}\right)^{H} \log^2(\tfrac{k+1}{n^m} n^{m-1})$$

$$\le 2n^{(m-1)H} \sum_{k=0}^{n^m-1} \left(\tfrac{k+1}{n^m}\right)^{H} \left(\log^2\left(\tfrac{k+1}{n^m}\right) + (m-1)^2 \log^2 n\right)$$

$$= 2n^{(m-1)H+m} S(x^H \log^2 x, n^m) + 2(m-1)^2 n^{(m-1)H+m} \log^2 n \cdot S\left(x^H, n^m\right)$$

$$\le C n^{(m-1)H+m} + C n^{(m-1)H+m} \log^2 n \le C n^{(m-1)H+m} \log^2 n$$

for $n \ge 2$. Statement (ii) is established similarly. $\qquad\square$

Next auxiliary result establishes asymptotic behavior of integral $\int_0^T X_s^2\, ds$ as $T \to \infty$.

Lemma 6.2. *Let process X satisfy equation* (1). *Then $\int_0^T X_s^2\, ds \to \infty$ with probability 1 as $T \to \infty$.*

Proof. The result is obvious for $\theta > 0$ therefore we consider only the case $\theta < 0$. Since $\int_0^T X_s^2\, ds$ is nondecreasing in $T > 0$, it is sufficient to prove that $\int_0^T X_s^2\, ds \to \infty$ in probability. For any $\lambda > 0$ consider the moment generation function $\Theta_T(\lambda) = \mathbf{E} \exp\left\{-\lambda \int_0^T X_s^2\, ds\right\}$ and $\Theta_\infty(\lambda) = \mathbf{E} \exp\left\{-\lambda \int_0^\infty X_s^2\, ds\right\}$ so that

$$\Theta_\infty(\lambda) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \Theta_T(\lambda).$$

Evidently,

$$\int_0^T X_s^2\, ds \ge T^{-1} \left(\int_0^T X_s\, ds\right)^2,$$

whence

$$\Theta_T(\lambda) \le \Theta_T^{(1)}(\lambda) := \mathbf{E} \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda}{T}\left(\int_0^T X_s\, ds\right)^2\right\}.$$

Random variable $T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T X_s\, ds$ is Gaussian with mean $m(T)$ and variance $\sigma^2(T)$, say. Note that for a Gaussian random variable $\xi = m + \sigma\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ we

have that

$$\mathbf{E}\exp\left\{-\lambda\xi^2\right\} = \left(2\lambda\sigma^2+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda m^2}{2a\sigma^2+1}\right\} \le \left(2\lambda\sigma^2+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\sigma^2(T) = \infty.$$

Similarly to (36).

$$\sigma^2(T) \ge T^{2H+1}\int_0^1\int_0^1\left(s^{2H}+t^{2H}-|s-t|^{2H}\right)ds\,dt \to \infty$$

as $T\to\infty$, whence the proof follows. $\square$

7. Simulations

In this section, we present the results of simulation experiments. We simulate 20 trajectories of the fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process (1) with $x_0 = 1$ for different values of θ and H. Then we compute values of $\widehat{\theta}_n(m)$. For each combination of θ, H, n and m the mean of the estimator is reported.

In Tables 1–3 the true value of the drift parameter θ equals 2. In this case the behavior of the estimators is almost the same for different values of H. Also we can see that the value of $\widehat{\theta}_n(m)$ is determined by n and does not depend on m. Further, we consider the case of negative θ. We simulate the process with $H = 0.45$, $\theta = -3$ and $m = 4,5$. The results are reported in Tables 4–5. One can see that the method works but the rate of convergence to the true value of a parameter is not very high. There are two reasons for this: the estimator is only consistent not strongly consistent and moreover, the trajectories are so irregular that even the length of the interval is small we can not "catch" the trajectory.

TABLE 1
$\theta = 2$, $m = 2$.

n	5	10	50	100	500	1000
$H = 0.05$	2.45763	2.21281	2.0395	2.01911	2.00300	2.00100
$H = 0.25$	2.45766	2.21281	2.0395	2.01911	2.00300	2.00100
$H = 0.45$	2.45794	2.21281	2.0395	2.01911	2.00300	2.00100

References

[1] BELFADLI, R., ES-SEBAIY, K. and OUKNINE, Y. (2011). Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes: non-ergodic case. *Frontiers in Science and Engineering* **1** 1-16.

TABLE 2
$\theta = 2$, $m = 3$.

n	5	10	20	25
$H = 0.05$	2.45763	2.21281	2.10231	2.08109
$H = 0.25$	2.45763	2.21281	2.10231	2.08109
$H = 0.45$	2.45763	2.21281	2.10231	2.08109

TABLE 3
$\theta = 2$, $m = 4$.

n	5	8	10	12	15
$H = 0.05$	2.45763	2.27092	2.21281	2.17240	2.13566
$H = 0.25$	2.45763	2.27092	2.21281	2.17240	2.13566
$H = 0.45$	2.45763	2.27092	2.21281	2.17240	2.13566

TABLE 4
$\theta = -3$, $H = 0.45$, $m = 4$.

n	2	4	6	8	10
$\widehat{\theta}_n(4)$	-1.50913	-2.41157	-2.71411	-2.9546	-3.12058

TABLE 5
$\theta = -3$, $H = 0.45$, $m = 5$.

n	2	3	4	5	6
$\widehat{\theta}_n(5)$	-1.63396	-2.04297	-2.38237	-2.5595	-2.72538

[2] BERTIN, K., TORRES, S. and TUDOR, C. A. (2011). Drift parameter estimation in fractional diffusions driven by perturbed random walks. *Stat. Probab. Lett.* **81** 243–249.

[3] BIANCHI, S., PANTANELLA, A. and PIANESE, A. (2013). Modeling stock prices by multifractional Brownian motion: an improved estimation of the pointwise regularity. *Quant. Finance* **13** 1317–1330.

[4] BISHWAL, J. P. N. (2011). Minimum contrast estimation in fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process: continuous and discrete sampling. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **14** 375–410.

[5] BROUSTE, A. and IACUS, S. M. (2013). Parameter estimation for the discretely observed fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process and the Yuima R package. *Computational Statistics* **28** 1529–1547.

[6] CÉNAC, P. and ES-SEBAIY, K. (2012). Almost sure central limit theorems for random ratios and applications to LSE for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Preprint.* arXiv:1209.0137 [math.PR].

[7] CHERIDITO, P., KAWAGUCHI, H. and MAEJIMA, M. (2003). Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Electron. J. Probab.* **8**.

[8] CLARKE DE LA CERDA, J. and TUDOR, C. A. (2012). Least squares estimator for the parameter of the fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck sheet. *J.*

Korean Stat. Soc. **41** 341–350.

[9] DIEDHIOU, A., MANGA, C. and MENDY, I. (2011). Parametric estimation for SDEs with additive sub-fractional Brownian motion. *Journal of Numerical Mathematics and Stochastics* **3** 37–45.

[10] ES-SEBAIY, K. (2013). Berry-Esséen bounds for the least squares estimator for discretely observed fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes. *Stat. Probab. Lett.* **83** 2372–2385.

[11] ES-SEBAIY, K. and NDIAYE, D. (2014). On drift estimation for nonergodic fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process with discrete observations. *Afr. Stat.* **9** 615–625.

[12] HU, Y. and NUALART, D. (2010). Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Stat. Probab. Lett.* **80** 1030–1038.

[13] HU, Y., NUALART, D., XIAO, W. and ZHANG, W. (2011). Exact maximum likelihood estimator for drift fractional Brownian motion at discrete observation. *Acta Mathematica Scientia* **31** 1851 - 1859.

[14] HU, Y. and SONG, J. (2013). Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with discrete observations. In *Malliavin calculus and stochastic analysis. A Festschrift in honor of David Nualart. New York, NY: Springer* 427–442.

[15] KLEPTSYNA, M. L. and LE BRETON, A. (2002). Statistical analysis of the fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck type process. *Stat. Inference Stoch. Process.* **5** 229–248.

[16] KOZACHENKO, Y., MELNIKOV, A. and MISHURA, Y. (2014). On drift parameter estimation in models with fractional Brownian motion. *Statistics.* Advance online publication. doi: 10.1080/02331888.2014.907294.

[17] MENDY, I. (2013). Parametric estimation for sub-fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. *J. Stat. Plann. Inference* **143** 663–674.

[18] MISHURA, Y., RALCHENKO, K., SELEZNEV, O. and SHEVCHENKO, G. (2014). Asymptotic Properties of Drift Parameter Estimator Based on Discrete Observations of Stochastic Differential Equation Driven by Fractional Brownian Motion. In *Modern Stochastics and Applications*, (V. Korolyuk, N. Limnios, Y. Mishura, L. Sakhno and G. Shevchenko, eds.). *Springer Optimization and Its Applications* **90** 303-318.

[19] NOURDIN, I. (2008). Asymptotic behavior of weighted quadratic and cubic variations of fractional Brownian motion. *Ann. Probab.* **36** 2159–2175.

[20] PRAKASA RAO, B. L. S. (2004). Sequential estimation for fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck type process. *Sequential Anal.* **23** 33–44.

[21] TANAKA, K. (2013). Distributions of the maximum likelihood and minimum contrast estimators associated with the fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process. *Stat. Inference Stoch. Process.* **16** 173–192.

[22] TUDOR, C. A. and VIENS, F. G. (2007). Statistical aspects of the fractional stochastic calculus. *Ann. Stat.* **35** 1183–1212.

[23] XIAO, W., ZHANG, W. and XU, W. (2011). Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes at discrete observation. *Appl. Math. Modelling* **35** 4196–4207.

[24] ZHANG, P., XIAO, W.-L., ZHANG, X.-L. and NIU, P.-Q. (2014). Parameter

identification for fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes based on discrete observation. *Economic Modelling* **36** 198–203.